

PROGRAM RATING - 2008/09 FUND DISTRIBUTION PROCESS

PROGRAM: _____

AGENCY: _____

AMOUNT REQUESTED: _____

IMPACT AREA *(check appropriate area):*

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supporting People in Crisis | <input type="checkbox"/> Building Strong & Healthy Communities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strengthening Families | <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting Self-Sufficiency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Developing Successful Children & Youth | |

COMMUNITY IMPACT **(50 pts)** _____

- Demographics of the population being served match target population for this impact area. *(Page P1)*
- Program addresses a community need identified for this impact area. *(Page P1)*
- There is a clear explanation of how the program will address identified need(s). *(Page P1)*
- Program provides services that would not otherwise be available. *(Page P1)*
- Reported results meet or exceed projected performance standards or, if not, an understandable reason for not meeting standards is provided. *(Pages P2, P3, P4)*
- Data analysis is clear and provides an explanation for what did / did not work as expected (uses numbers and percentages). *(Page P5)*

Comments: _____

OUTCOME PROCESS REVIEW **(30 pts)** _____

- Program has at least one clearly defined outcome that positively states the benefits or changes experienced by clients as a direct result of participating in program activities. *(Logic model & pages P2, P3, P4)*
- Outcome describes a meaningful change experienced by the client. *(Pages P2, P3, P4)*
- Program's logic model clearly demonstrates a linkage between program activities and outcomes. *(Logic model)*
- Indicator is stated as a number and percentage and is an appropriate measure of the identified outcome. *(Pages P2, P3, P4)*
- The measurement tool and evaluation process are appropriate and adequately measures the change experienced by clients. *(Copy of tool & page P5)*

Comments: _____

United Way of Washington County, 2008/09 Program Rating (cont.)

PROGRAM: _____

AGENCY: _____

PROGRAM STRATEGIES

(20 pts) _____

- Proposed adjustments to outcomes, indicators, performance standards, measurement tools, and/or program activities based on data analysis are stated, including any changes that will impact 2008 funding. *(Pages P2, P3, P4)*
- There is a clear explanation as to how the 2009 performance standard was set. *(Pages P2, P3, P4)*
- Program describes how level of need is assessed. *(Page P5)*
- When appropriate, program collaborates with other programs/organizations to achieve greater impact. *(Page P5)*

Comments: _____

OTHER FACTORS

(bonus or point reduction, if any) _____

Factors not covered elsewhere on this rating sheet but that should be taken into consideration when determining the funding for this program. Possible examples include level of Agency Board participation, utilization of volunteers, innovative approaches, noticeable improvement or decline from prior year, ability to access multiple funding sources, etc.

*NOTE: Bonus points are optional and should not exceed 5 total points..
Total points **may not exceed 100**. Bonus or point reductions are optional and should **only be used** if the factor considered should impact program funding.*

Additional Factor(s) Considered:

- ◆ _____

- ◆ _____

- ◆ _____

OTHER COMMENTS:

TOTAL POINTS *(100 points possible):* _____